Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,035	PRABHAKAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KEVIN S. MAI	2456	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>After Non-Final</u>		
(1) <u>KEVIN S. MAI</u> .	(3) <u>Aly Dossa (reg. no. 63372)</u> .		
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>13 July 2009</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
/Kevin S Mai/ Examiner, Art Unit 2456 (A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if a _l	ppropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner asked applicant to more clearly explain their invention in view of the submitted amendments. Applicant's explained their invention and walked the examiner through a sample scenario. Applicant's are thanked for their time and their clear responses to the examiner's inquiry.